# Valencia Water <br> Control District 

## Agenda

January 9, 2024

## Agenda

## AGENDA

January 9, 2024

# VALENCIA WATER CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING <br> 1 P.M. <br> LAKE RIDGE VILLAGE CLUBHOUSE <br> 10630 LARISSA STREET <br> WILLIAMSBURG, ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32821 

## Item

1. Call Meeting to Order
2. Public Comment Period
3. Approval of November 14, 2023 Monthly Meeting Minutes
4. General Fund Financial Reports
5. Engineer's Report
A. Consideration of Permit \#0530 - Aquatica Parking Lot
B. Presentation of Sea World C-5 Canal Reconfiguration Study
6. Attorney's Report
7. Director's Report
A. Customer Call Log
B. Consideration of Proposals to Repair S-501 (Under Separate Cover)
8. Other Business
9. Adjournment

## Minutes

# MINUTES OF THE MONTHLY MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF VALENCIA WATER CONTROL DISTRICT 

November 14, 2023
The monthly meeting of the Board of Supervisors of VALENCIA WATER CONTROL DISTRICT was held at 1:00 P.M. on Tuesday, November14, 2023, at the Lake Ridge Village Clubhouse, 10630 Larissa Street, Orlando, Florida. Physically present were Supervisors Debra Donton, Roy Miller, and Brian Andrelczyk. Also, in attendance were the following: George Flint, District Director, Stephen Broome, District Counsel; David Mahler, District Engineer; Stacie Vanderbilt, District Administrative Assistant; Dan Brown, Sthern Environmental; Carolina MatizPardo, Atkins; Tom Burdeshaw, Ground LVL; and Jeff Schwartz, SeaWorld.

## ITEM \#1

## Call Meeting to Order

Mr. Flint called the meeting to order at 1:02 P.M. A quorum of three Board members were present.

## ITEM \#2

## Public Comment Period

No members of the public were present to provide public comment.

## ITEM \#3

Approval of August 8, 2023 Annual
Meeting Minutes
Mr. Flint stated the next item was the minutes from the July 11, 2023 annual meeting. He asked if there were any corrections, deletions, or additions.

On MOTION by Ms. Donton, seconded by Mr. Andrelczyk with all in favor the Minutes from the August 8, 2023 Monthly Meeting were approved as presented.

## Presentation by Sea World Orlando on

 C-5 Canal Design ConceptMr. Flint briefed the Board on the purpose of the presentation for the proposed project that would affect the C-5 Canal. District staff voiced concerns to Sea World, so the representatives wanted the opportunity to speak to the Board.

Mr. Burdeshaw was looking to the underground portion of the canal to expand the property and fully utilize it. Valencia's staff was concerned about the access and maintenance, so he studied bifurcating the site, but the best plan that they came up with was to completely underground it. This way there will not be any structures placed on it, only walkways and seating areas.

Mr. Flint pointed out on his map to the Board that the proposed area was.
Ms. Matiz-Pardo stated that they would be similar to the culverts under Central Florida Parkway. There will be several points of contact or box structures over it so the District could visually see the water and what was in it.

Mr. Schwartz stated we understand the concern of the Board and do not plan to sell the hotel property. We would continue to maintain and own the area. We're looking for the "nod" from the Board to continue with conceptual design, not construction, yet.

Mr. Flint stated the main reason for concern was that the culverts that run under Central Florida Parkway are owned and maintained by Orange County and the District has to deal with Orange County when there are failures. Entering into an agreement with a private entity like SeaWorld, is another area to make sure is properly maintained and failures are fixed. Worst case, if the economy were to go south and the property was abandoned, the burden would fall on the District to assume the cost of maintenance.

Mr. Mahler stated his concerns were the potential upstream flooding and tracking the maintenance and inspections. It would also require more NPDES obligations. Obstructions would also be harder to see to the naked eye because the box culverts cover up the open canal.

Mr. Miller asked where the box culverts are located, will there be manhole covers to go down and reach the water if need be? Where are the access roads?

Ms. Matiz-Pardo responded yes, there will be access and she pointed out the access roads on their plan.

Mr. Miller, Mr. Andrelczyk and Ms. Donton discussed the plan further.
Mr. Andrelczyk clarified with Mr. Flint that the Board is either recommending for Sea World to move forward with a conceptual design to cover and ground the C-5 Canal or telling them no, go back and provide a plan to leave the canal alone?

Mr. Flint responded yes, because District staff had enough concerns to have to bring them to the Board for discussion first and get direction.

Mr. Brown showed everyone on the map a way to go around and divert the flow of the C5 to other canals. Dig up one their parking lot and save costs.

Discussion amongst everyone ensued.
Mr. Mahler stated that the Water Management District and Orange County would still have to approve any changes or permits. The District does not hold that power.

Mr. Flint stated the concern is that the District has to contract with an on-site entity to maintain the culverts. If anything goes wrong and the owner abandons the project, the burden will be shouldered on the District. Similar situations happened with Marriott when their culvert failed and landscaping was lost. They approved the District to either fix it or ask how to fix it.

Mr. Andrelczyk suggested a motion to give SeaWorld direction, the Board is not opposed to move forward with the design concept with the plan that they leave it open.

Mr. Schwartz wanted to hear Mr. Miller and Ms. Donton's opinions.
Ms. Donton said she's concerned mainly about the maintenance. If something goes wrong, it can be catastrophic.

Mr. Miller stated he has the same concerns. With everything covered up, it will be difficult to see issues uprising and can cause bigger problems for the whole District. He understood what they are trying to do, but he would rather stay away from the grounded concept.

On MOTION by Mr. Miller, seconded by Mrs. Donton, to discourage the closed option and explore an option that keeps the canal open and divert the water around, with Mr. Andrelczyk abstaining, Motion Passed 2-1.

Mr. Schwartz, Mr. Burdeshaw and Ms, Matiz-Pardo thanked the Board for their time and said they would come back with a new design plan leaving the canal open. They left the meeting at this time.

## ITEM \#5

General Fund Financial Reports
Mr. Flint stated it is the start of the new fiscal year so there are no collections yet, and the actuals are under prorations.

## Engineer's Report

A. Acknowledgement of Plans for Repairs at Discovery Cove - Pond 13 Outfall

Mr. Mahler wanted on record that they are fixing issues that they have at the site,
there is no new permit needed.

## B. Consideration of Permit \# 0529 - Discovery Cove Project Pink Lady

Mr. Mahler stated that Sea World was modifying the property, and there is no adverse affect to the District's system. He recommended approval.

On MOTION by Mr. Miller, seconded by Mrs. Donton, with all in favor, Permit \# 0529 - Discovery Cove Project Pink Lady, was approved.

Mr. Miller asked what is going on at the end of Central Florida Parkway by I-4?
Mr. Mahler responded they are changing the I-4 interchange, it is part of I-4 Ultimate project. It was taken out of the original plan and is now being worked on.

## ITEM \#7

## Attorney's Report

Mr. Broome had nothing new to report to the Board.
Mr. Flint added depending on the SeaWorld C-5 Canal project, easements and agreements will need to be done.

## ITEM \#8

## Director's Report

## A. Customer Call Log

This log has a lot of callers because the Board hasn't met in a few months. We got a couple of calls regarding the C-6 in Deer Creek. Vegetation is coming in from Shingle Creek. In the past the area has been dredged and treated but it immediately comes back.
B. Consideration of Non-Ad Valorem Assessment Administration Agreement with Orange County Property Appraiser

On MOTION by Mr. Miller, seconded by Mr. Andrelczyk, with all in favor, the Non-Ad Valorem Assessment Administration Agreement with Orange County Property Appraiser, was approved.

There being none,

## Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Andrelczyk, seconded by Debra Donton, with all in favor the meeting was adjourned at 1:59 pm.

Stephen F. Broome, Secretary

William Von Ingle

Amanda Whitney

Debra Donton

Roy Miller

Brian Andrelczyk
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## Valencia

Water Control District
Balance Sheet
December 31, 2023

|  | General Fund |  | Capital Reserve Fund |  | Totals Governmental Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash - Truist Bank | \$ | 259,948 | \$ | 233,824 | \$ | 493,772 |
| Petty Cash | \$ | 100 | \$ | - | \$ | 100 |
| Investment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Board of Administration | \$ | 42,409 | \$ | 802,153 | \$ | 844,562 |
| Total Current Assets | \$ | 302,457 | \$ | 1,035,977 | \$ | 1,338,434 |

Fixed Assets

| Land | $\$$ | 700,120 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 700,120 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Structures | $\$$ | 672,531 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 672,531 |
| Canals | $\$$ | $2,888,690$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | $2,888,690$ |
| Ponds | $\$$ | $1,245,537$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | $1,245,537$ |
| Equipment \& Office Furniture | $\$$ | 6,703 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 6,703 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | $\$$ | $(4,789,183)$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | $(4,789,183)$ |
| Total Fixed Assets | $\$$ | 724,398 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 724,398 |
| Total Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Liabilities:

| Accounts Payable | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Liabilities | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - |

Fund Balances:

Unassigned
Net Assets Capitalized

| Total Fund Balances | $\$$ | $1,026,856$ | $\$$ | $1,035,977$ | $\$$ | $2,062,832$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Total Liabilities \& Fund Equity \$ 1,026,856 \$ 1,035,977 2,062,832

## Valencia

Water Control District
General Fund
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
For The Period Ending December 31, 2023

|  | Adopted |  | Prorated Budget |  | Actual |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Budget |  | Thru 12/31/23 |  | Thru 12/31/23 |  | Variance |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessments- Tax Roll | \$ | 552,179 | \$ | 208,709 | \$ | 208,709 | \$ | - |
| Interest | \$ | 2,000 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 598 | \$ | 98 |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 554,179 | \$ | 209,209 | \$ | 209,307 | \$ | 98 |

Expenditures:
Administrative:

| Supervisor Fees | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 625 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 475 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Engineering Fees | \$ | 37,200 | \$ | 9,300 | \$ | 5,198 | \$ | 4,103 |
| Attorney Fees | \$ | 12,000 | \$ | 3,000 | \$ | 3,000 | \$ | - |
| Annual Audit | \$ | 5,200 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Assessment Roll Certification | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | - |
| ManagementFees | \$ | 53,280 | \$ | 13,320 | \$ | 13,320 | \$ | - |
| Information Technology | \$ | 1,800 | \$ | 450 | \$ | 450 | \$ | - |
| Website Maintenance | \$ | 1,200 | \$ | 300 | \$ | 300 | \$ | - |
| Insurance | \$ | 14,400 | \$ | 14,400 | \$ | 13,614 | \$ | 786 |
| Report Preparation - NPDES | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 3,750 | \$ | 420 | \$ | 3,330 |
| Office Lease/Storage | \$ | 3,000 | \$ | 750 | \$ | 648 | \$ | 102 |
| Printing \& Binding | \$ | 500 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 24 | \$ | 101 |
| Postage | \$ | 600 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 173 | \$ | (23) |
| Legal Advertising | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 625 | \$ | - | \$ | 625 |
| Bank Fees | \$ | 600 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 116 | \$ | 34 |
| Other Current Charges | \$ | 400 | \$ | 100 | \$ | - | \$ | 100 |
| Office Supplies | \$ | 350 | \$ | 88 | \$ | 18 | \$ | 70 |
| Election Fees | \$ | 4,250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Meeting Rental Fee | \$ | 500 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 50 | \$ | 75 |
| Property Appraiser Fee | \$ | 5,417 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Dues, Licenses \& Subscriptions | \$ | 1,675 | \$ | 419 | \$ | 175 | \$ | 244 |
| Total Administrative: | \$ | 167,372 | \$ | 52,676 | \$ | 42,655 | \$ | 10,021 |

Operations \& Maintenance

| Contracts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Weed Control | \$ | 40,000 | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 6,966 | \$ | 3,034 |
| Mowing | \$ | 98,289 | \$ | 24,572 | \$ | 20,483 | \$ | 4,090 |
| Water Quality Monitoring | \$ | 19,746 | \$ | 4,937 | \$ | 3,291 | \$ | 1,646 |
| Repairs \& Maintenance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canal \& Retention Pond Maintenance | \$ | 40,000 | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | 9,000 |
| Security Gates \& Signs | \$ | 750 | \$ | 188 | \$ | - | \$ | 188 |
| NPDES Inspection \& Fees | \$ | 6,000 | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 1,875 | \$ | (375) |
| Operating Supplies | \$ | 500 | \$ | 125 | \$ | - | \$ | 125 |
| Contingency | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 625 | \$ | - | \$ | 625 |
| Total Operations \& Maintenance: | \$ | 207,785 | \$ | 51,946 | \$ | 33,615 | \$ | 18,331 |

## Valencia

Water Control District
General Fund
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance For The Period Ending December 31, 2023

|  | Adopted |  | Prorated Budget |  | Actual |  | Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Budget |  | Thru 12/31/23 |  | Thru 12/31/23 |  |  |  |
| Capital Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer Out-Capital Reserve | \$ | 245,392 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total Reserves | \$ | 245,392 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 620,549 | \$ | 104,623 | \$ | 76,270 | \$ | 28,353 |
| Excess Revenues (Expenditures) | \$ | $(66,370)$ |  |  | \$ | 133,037 |  |  |
| Fund Balance-Beginning | \$ | 66,370 |  |  | \$ | 160,900 |  |  |
| Fund Balance - Ending | \$ | - |  |  | \$ | 293,937 |  |  |

## Valencia

Water Control District
Capital Reserve
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
For The Period Ending December 31, 2023

|  | Adopted |  | Prorated Budget |  | Actual |  | Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Budget |  | Thru 12/31/23 |  | Thru 12/31/23 |  |  |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In | \$ | 245,392 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Interest | \$ | 35,000 | \$ | 8,750 | \$ | 11,252 | \$ | 2,502 |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 280,392 | \$ | 8,750 | \$ | 11,252 | \$ | 2,502 |

Expenditures:

| Contingency | \$ | 600 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 114 | \$ | 36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Outlay | \$ | 119,181 | \$ | 29,795 | \$ | 42,918 | \$ | $(13,123)$ |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 119,781 | \$ | 29,945 | \$ | 43,032 | \$ | $(13,087)$ |
| Excess Revenues (Expenditures) | \$ | 160,611 | \$ | $(21,195)$ | \$ | $(31,780)$ |  |  |
| Fund Balance-Beginning | \$ | 1,066,244 |  |  | \$ | 1,067,757 |  |  |
| Fund Balance - Ending | \$ | 1,226,855 |  |  | \$ | 1,035,977 |  |  |



## Valencia

Water Control District

## Special Assessment Receipts

Fiscal Year 2024


## Section V

## SECTION A

Sea World of Florida, LLC<br>Attn: Carlos Varela, Director - Design \& Engineering<br>9205 South Park Center Loop, Suite 400<br>Orlando, FL 32819<br>Subject: Permit \#0530

Dear Mr. Varela:
Sea World of Florida, LLC is hereby granted a construction permit related to the Aquatica Parking Expansion project. Approval is granted in accordance with approved plans and hydraulic calculations and the following GENERAL AND SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

## GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. That the District or their agents may at any time make such inspections as they may deem necessary to ensure that the construction or work is performed in accordance with the conditions of this permit.
2. That the permittee will maintain the work authorized herein during construction and thereafter in good condition in accordance with the approved plans.
3. That the permittee shall comply promptly with any lawful regulations, conditions, or instructions affecting the structure or work authorized herein if and when issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the South Florida Water Management District and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection and/or any county or city environmental protection agency having jurisdiction to abate or prevent water pollution, including thermal or radiation pollution. Such regulations, conditions, or instructions in effect or hereafter prescribed by the federal, state, county and city agencies have hereby made a condition of this permit.
4. It is understood and agreed that the rights and privileges herein set out are granted only to the extent of the District's right, title and interest in the land to be entered upon and used by the permittee, and the permittee will at all times, assume all risk and indemnify, defend and save harmless Valencia Water Control District from and against any and all loss, damage, cost or expense arising in any manner on account of the exercise or attempted exercises by the permittee of the aforesaid rights and privileges.
5. The permittee and/or their agents will use every measure to prevent the run-off of turbid water into the District's facilities including, but not limited to, the use of temporary ponds, silt barriers, chemical additives and temporary grassing during construction.
6. If discharge of water by permittee should at any time raise the level of pollutants in the District's water management facility to the point where the District is in violation of a statute or regulation, permittee will either: (a) immediately cease such discharge, (b) remove pollutants from the water before discharging into District facilities, and pay all costs which the District must incur in order to reduce pollution in the District's facilities to acceptable levels.
7. That all the provisions of this permit shall be binding on any assignee or successor in interest of the permittee.
8. That any modification, suspension or revocation of this permit shall not be the basis for a claim for damages against Valencia Water Control District.
9. The Valencia Water Control District agrees that the issuance of this permit allows the passage of water through their canals but in so doing does not assume any responsibility for damage to any persons or property.
10. That the engineer of record certify that the facilities as constructed comply with the submitted hydraulic calculations and approved drawings.
11. That the permittee agrees not to modify or alter the constructed facilities at any future time without the express consent of the District.
12. This permit is valid for 3 years from date of approval or runs concurrently with the SFWMD permit, if required, whichever expires first.
13. That this permit must be executed within 30 days of Board approval or must be brought back to the Board for reconsideration.

## END OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

## SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

1. That the Construction Plans, sheets C02.000; C02.010; C02.020; C02.101; C02.201; C02.251; C02.261; C02.271; C02.281; C02.301; C02.500; C02.501; C02.502; C02.503; L01.100; L02.100; L02.101; L02.300; L02.301; L02.302; L02.591; L02.700; L02.701; L02.702; L02.791 titled Aquatica Orlando 2024 Parking Expansion as recommended for approval by the District Engineer on December 20, 2023, become part of this permit.

## Attest:

Signature:
Sea World of Florida, LLC
Title: $\qquad$

## Granted by:

Valencia Water Control District

By:
Roy Miller, President
On this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2024

117 East Robinson St
Orlando, FL 32801
Phone: 407.425.0452
Fax: 407.648.1036

December 21, 2023

## Board of Directors

Valencia Water Control District
219 E. Livingston Street
Orlando, Florida 32801
RE: SWO AQO 2024 - Aquatica Parking Lot VWCD Permit No. 530
CPH Project No. 6816.07
Dear Honorable Board Members:
We have completed our review of the above referenced project submitted by Land Design on December 15, 2023. Based on our review, we have no objection to the Board approving this permit.

Sincerely,

## CPH, LLC



David E. Mahler, P.E.
District Engineer

Cc: Jason Rostek, P.E., Land Design file

Permit No. $\qquad$
(Assigned by V.W.C.D.)
PERIMIT APPLICATION
Valencia Water Control District
c/o CPH, Inc.
1117 E. Robinson Street
Orlando, FL 32801
VWCD Office: (407) 841-5524 X 101 CPH, Inc. (407) 425-0452
(1) PROPOSED USE: Aquatica Parking Expansion 2024
(2) LOCATION OF WORK: Block:
or Section: $\underset{7}{ } \quad$ Township:___ ${ }_{24}^{\text {Lot: }} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Range: } \\ & \underbrace{\text { Subdivision: }}_{29}\end{aligned}$
$\qquad$ Township: $\qquad$ Range: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
(3) DISTRICT WORKS INVOLVED: Canal C-5 (Receiving Water Only)
(4) OWNER OF PROPOSED WORK OR STRUCTURE: Phone \#: (407) 363-2127

| Address: 9205 South Park Center Loop, Suite 400 | Orando | FL | 32819.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Street) | (City) | (State) | (Zip) |

(5) APPLICATION OTHER THAN OWNER: (if any) Phone \#: (4077) 402-2913

Name: Jason Rostek / LandDesign

|  | Address:100 S. Orange Ave., Suite 200 | Orlando | FL | 32801 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Street) | (City) |  | (State) | (Zip) |

(6) AREA PROPOSED TO BE SERVED: Give legal description and size in acres. Attach legal description if necessary. If land is platted, indicate Block, Lot and Subdivision. The proposed project area is 5.89 acres. Tax Parcel ID Numbers $07-24-29-7959-00-010$ \& $07-24-29-7559-00-011$
(7) CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE: The proposed work, if permitted, will begin within 60 Calendar days of permit approval and be completed within $\qquad$ calendar days thereafter.
(8) This application, including sketches, drawings or plans and specifications attached contains a full and complete description of work proposed or use desired of the above described facilities of the District and for which permit is herewith applied. It shall be a part of any permit that may be issued. It is agreed that all work or the use of the District's facilities will be in accordance with the permit to be granted.
Submitted this $15^{\text {tht }}$ day of DECEMBER . 2023 .

Signature of Property Owner (Officer of Corporation):


Print Name of Property Owner (Officer): Carlos Varela, R.A. - Director, Design \& Engineering

# AQUATICA ORLANDO 2024 PARKING EXPANSION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS - CONSTRUCTION PLAN 

ORANGE COUNTY, FL<br>DATE: 12/19/2023

| SHEET LIST TABLE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SHEET NUMBER | SHEET TITLE |
| C02.000 | COVER SHEET AND INDEX OF DRAWING |
| C02.010 | GENERAL NOTES AND ABBREVIATIONS |
| C02.020 | DRAWING KEY MAP |
| C02.101 | DEMOLITION AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN |
| C02.201 | SITE PLAN |
| C02.251 | SIGNAGE AND STRIPING PLAN |
| C02.261 | EmERGENCY ACCESS PLAN |
| C02.271 | EMERGENCY ACCESS PLAN |
| C02.281 | EMERGENCY ACCESS PLAN |
| C02.301 | GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN |
| C02.500 | EROSION CONTROL AND DRAINAGE DETALLS |
| C02.501 | EROSION CONTROL \& DRAINAGE DETAILS |
| C02.502 | SITE DETALLS |
| C02.503 | PRE-CAST WALL AND UTILITY DETALLS |
| L01.100 | GENERAL NOTES / ABBREVIATIONS / SYMBOLS |
| L02.100 | OVERALL SITE PLAN |
| L02.101 | OVERALL KEY PLAN |
| L02.102 | TREE REMOVAL AND PRESERVATION PLAN |
| L02.300 | HARDSCAPE SCHEDULE \& NOTES |
| L02.301 | HARDSCAPE PLAN |
| L02.302 | HARDSCAPE PLAN |
| L02.591 | HARDSCAPE DETAlLS |
| L02.700 | LANDSCAPE SCHEDULE \& NOTES |
| L02.701 | LANDSCAPE PLAN |
| L02.702 | LANDSCAPE PLAN |
| L02.791 | LANDSCAPE DETAlLS |



## PROJECT TEAM

 OWNER/DEVELOPER


LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
LANDDESIGN
100 SOUTH ORANGE AVE SUITE 200
100 LOUTH ORANG
ORLANO,
LLL 2380
407.277.7880
COTTACT NAME: ANDREW GARRELS, PLA

CIVIL ENGINEER
LOODOUTH ORANGE AVE., sUITE 200

| OOD SOUTH HRANGE |
| :--- |
| OLANDO. FL 32801 |

407.27. 78000 O
COTTACT NAME: JASON ROSTEK, P.E

ARCHITECT

| ARCHV ESTINATIINS |
| :--- |
| SOA NOTHRROADW |

CONTACT NAME: ANDREW GARRELS, PLA
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## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND DRAINAGE SYSTEM
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(1)STAKED TURBIDITY BARRIER DETAIL

(2) SILT FENCE DETAIL $\square$

(3) INLET SEDIMENT FILTER DETAIL
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5 TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS DETAIL
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plan View


SECTION B-B
$\bigoplus_{\text {NIS }}$ DRY POND BROAD CRESTED WEIR CONTROL STRUCTURE DETAIL

(2) DRY SWALE SECTION

$\Theta_{\text {Nis }}$ DRY SWALE SECTION
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Notes



 Mand -. FIGURE A101
 $\underset{\substack{\text { FIGURE A101 } \\ \text { inorovan }}}{ }$


(2) BEDDING AND TRENCHING DETALLS
$\frac{\mathrm{PLANVIEW}}{1 / 4=1=-0^{-}}$

## 3


$\square$
$\frac{\text { Elevation }}{1 / 44^{4}=1 \cdot 0^{\prime \prime}}$
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## Executive Summary

SeaWorld Parks \& Entertainment (Owner) would like to change the current use of parcels 12-24-28-7874-00-020 and 12-24-28-7874-00-021 (Parcels \#3 and \#4, respectively. See Figure 1: Parcels of Interest) and develop a hotel/resort with approximately 504 keys. To maximize land use, the Owner is proposing the following options for reconfiguration of the portion of existing Canal C-5 that runs adjacent to the west side of Parcel \#3:

- Option \#1: This option would capture Canal C-5 near the beginning of the turn to the west along the north side of Parcel \#3. Canal C-5 would enter a 42 ft . wide x 15 ft . high rectangular channel section. The proposed storm system consisting of twin culverts at the road crossings and the rectangular channel would convey flows along the existing Canal C-5 path on the west side of Parcel \#3 and direct the flows toward the existing twin 10 ft . x 5 ft . culverts that cross under Central Florida Parkway (CFP).
- Option \#2: This option would capture Canal C-5 near the end of the turn to the west along the north side of Parcel \#3. Canal C-5 would enter twin $12 \mathrm{ft} . \times 10 \mathrm{ft}$. box culverts. The proposed twin culvert system would convey flows along the existing Canal C-5 path on the west side of Parcel \#3 and direct them toward the proposed twin 11 ft . x 6 ft . culverts that cross under the western entrance to Parcel \#3 and continue toward the existing twin 10 ft . x 5 ft . culverts that cross under CFP.
- Option \#3: This option would relocate the portion of Canal C-5 running along the west side of Parcel \#3 to the east of said Parcel. This portion of Canal C-5 would enter twin 10 ft . $\times 6 \mathrm{ft}$. culverts that convey flow under the proposed entrance and connect to a 42 ft . wide $\times 15 \mathrm{ft}$. high rectangular channel section that continues to the south along International Drive (I-Drive) and turns west at the intersection of International Drive (I-Drive) and CFP. From here it would continue west along CFP and connect to the existing twin 10 ft . x 5 ft . box culverts that cross under CFP.

This study analyzes each option and compares it to the current configuration for Canal C-5. The goal is to achieve a near zero rise in water surface elevation while using the minimum rectangular canal cross section to reduce the drainage easement, without impacting the upstream systems discharging into Canal C-5. The following pages will describe the available data, the proposed options models, provide a comparison to the existing conditions model, and provide a conclusion.

Figure 1: Parcels of Interest


Source: Orange County Florida Property Appraiser web site.

| ID | Parcel Number | Owner Name | Physical Address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $12-24-28-7874-00-$ <br> 020 | SeaWorld of Florida, <br> Inc. | 10700 International Dr., Orlando, FL 32821 |
| 4 | $12-24-28-7874-00-$ <br> 021 | SeaWorld of Florida, <br> Inc. | 10890 International Dr., Orlando, FL 32821 |
|  |  |  |  |

## 1. Design Requirements

The Design Requirement of the following study is to achieve a near zero rise in the water surface elevations of Canal C-5 with the three (3) Options presented in the Executive Summary.

## 2. Stormwater Analysis

The stormwater modeling computer program Hydrologic Engineering Center River Analysis System (HECRAS) version 6.4.1 was used for analyzing the existing conditions and the different proposed options. The models use one-dimensional steady flow data extracted from the Water Control Plan (WCP) provided by the Valencia Water Control District (VWCD) and prepared by CPH Engineering on May 29, 1998 (Updated July 15, 2003). The VWCD also provided information on the Amil Gate S-501 (See Appendix A for Detail Sheet by Gee \& Jenson Consulting Engineering, Inc. dated November 1972). This information was used to verify the Valencia Water Control Plan (Valencia WCP) and to establish the tailwater used. The VWCD also indicated that all elevations are in the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD 29).

## 3. Existing Conditions

Canal C-5 currently runs south starting at an Elliptical Reinforced Concrete Pipe (ERCP) Culvert located at the intersection of Sea Harbor Drive and I-Drive. From this point, C-5 continues south along the western side of I-Drive until it reaches Parcel \#3 (See Figure 1). At this point, Canal C-5 turns to the west along the north side of Parcel \#3 and continues its flow toward the west side of said parcel. At the northwest corner of Parcel \#3, Canal C-5 turns south and runs along the west side of said parcel, crossing under a set of twin 10 ft . x 5 ft . box culverts located at the western entrance to Parcel \#3. Canal C-5 continues south until it reaches another set of twin 10 ft . x 5 ft . box culverts crossing under CFP. Canal C-5 continues south after CFP until it reaches Amil Gate S-501; this is where the water surface elevation and flows in Canal C-5 are controlled by the gate. After the S-501 gate, Canal C-5 continues south along the east side of the Discovery Cove property until it connects with Canal C-1.
For comparison purposes, this study is interested in the section of Canal C-5 that begins at a point approximately 200 feet north of the beginning of the bend to the west along the north side of Parcel \#3 and ends at or after the existing twin 10 ft . x 5 ft . box culverts crossing under CFP. The tailwater assumed for the existing and all three (3) options is the elevation at the Amil Gate S-501 during a 25 -year / 72-hour storm and indicated on the WCP to be 85.17 ft . NGVD 29. The WCP indicates that a Total Flow of 459 cfs is conveyed by the twin 10 ft . x 5 ft . box culverts crossing under CFP during a 25 -year / 72-hour storm event. It is assumed that the studied section of Canal C-5 should convey the same flow. This information was used in creating the one-dimensional steady flow analysis for the existing conditions and the three (3) options. See Figure 2: Water Control Plan for more details.
The existing Canal C-5 alignment and profile information was gathered from available Survey Civil 3D data and a typical cross-section extracted from detail sheet C2.11 of Construction Plans for the SWF Pond '6' Relocation as-builts dated 10/26/1996 (Application \# 960806-5). See Appendix C for additional information.
The existing Canal C-5 is being considered as an excavated channel with "Dense weeds". The Manning's n Roughness Coefficient used in the existing channel model is $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 5}$. See Appendix $D$ for additional information.

Figure 2: Water Control Plan


Source: The Valencia Water Control Plan by CPH. Dated 05/29/1998.

### 3.1. Existing Conditions Model Results

All data previously described in the above section was used to create a HEC-RAS one-dimensional steady flow model. The following table shows the elevations at different points of interest along Canal C-5. See Appendix B for full table results, profile, and model layout.

| Location | 25-Year / 72-Hour Water Surface Elevation (ft.) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Comparison Point \#1 (CP \#1) | 86.46 |
| Comparison Point \#2 (CP \#2) | 85.81 |

## 4. Canal C-5 Reconfiguration Options

### 4.1. Option \#1: Place portion of Canal C-5 in rectangular section

As previously described, beginning at the northeast corner of Parcel \#3, before the existing Canal C-5 turns to the west, the existing Canal C-5 will enter a 42 ft . wide $\times 15 \mathrm{ft}$. high rectangular channel section which will continue to convey the flow westward along the northern side of Parcel \#3. The rectangular section of Canal C-5 through Parcel \#3 will consist of a concrete bottom and vertical sheet piles on the sides. The sides are planned to be themed to enhance the look of Canal C-5 along the northern and western sides of Parcel \#3. The rectangular channel will continue to the west until a proposed entrance to Parcel \#3 which will be in the northwest corner of said parcel. At this point, twin 12 ft . x 10 ft . box culverts will convey the flow under the proposed entrance road. The Canal C-5 rectangular section will continue south along the western side of Parcel \#3 until it reaches the existing twin 10 ft . x 5 ft . box culverts under the Western Entrance to the parcel. After this point, Canal C-5 will continue its existing path and configuration toward the existing twin 10 ft . x 5 ft . box culverts under CFP.
A HEC-RAS model was created using the same beginning and ending points indicated in the existing conditions (See Section 3). The same tailwater of 85.17 ft ., assumed for the existing condition, was used for this option. The same Total Flow of 459 cfs was also used to create the one-dimensional steady flow analysis used in HEC-RAS. The proposed rectangular section is considered as a "Concrete Bottom float finished with sides of Dry Rubble on Riprap" for possible theming sides. The Manning's $n$ Roughness Coefficient used in this Option model is $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 5}$. See Appendix D for additional information.

### 4.1.1. Option \#1 Model Results

All data previously described in the above section was used to create a HEC-RAS one-dimensional steady flow model. The following table shows the elevations at the beginning and end of the relocated portion of Canal C-5. See Appendix B for full table results, profile, and model layout.

| Location | 25-Year / 72-Hour Water Surface Elevation (ft.) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Comparison Point \#1 (CP \#1) | 86.46 |
| Comparison Point \#2 (CP \#2) | 85.81 |

### 4.2. Option \#2: Place portion of Canal C-5 in twin culverts

As previously described, beginning near the end of Canal C-5's turn to the west along the north side of Parcel \#3, a twin set of 12 ft . x 10 ft . box culverts will capture the flow and convey it along the existing Canal C-5 path on the west side of Parcel \#3. The proposed storm system will connect to a point in the existing Canal C-5 located approximately 250 ft . north of the Box Culverts under the Western Entrance to Parcel
\#3. It is recommended that the existing culverts be upsized to twin 12 ft . x 6 ft . box culverts. At this point, the flows will continue the same existing path toward the existing twin 10 ft . $\times 5 \mathrm{ft}$. box culverts located under CFP.

A HEC-RAS model was created using the same beginning and ending points indicated in the existing conditions (See Section 3). The same tailwater of 85.17 ft ., assumed for the existing condition, was used for this option. The same Total Flow of 459 cfs was also used to create the one-dimensional steady flow analysis used in HEC-RAS. The existing portions of Canal C-5 are being considered as excavated channel with "Dense weeds". The Manning's $n$ Roughness Coefficient used in the existing portions of the channel model is $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 5}$. See Appendix D for additional information.

### 4.2.1. Option \#2 Model Results

All data previously described in the above section was used to create a HEC-RAS one-dimensional steady flow model. The following table shows the elevations at the beginning and end of the relocated portion of Canal C-5. See Appendix B for full table results, profile, and model layout.

| Location | 25-Year / 72-Hour Water Surface Elevation (ft.) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Comparison Point \#1 (CP \#1) | 86.51 |
| Comparison Point \#2 (CP \#2) | 85.81 |

### 4.3. Option \#3: Relocate portion of Canal C-5 to the east and place in rectangular section.

As previously described, beginning at the northeast corner of Parcel \#3, before the existing Canal C-5 turns to the west, the existing Canal C-5 will enter twin 10 ft . x 6 ft . culverts that convey the flow under the proposed northeast entrance to Parcel \#3 and convey flow toward the south where a 42 ft . wide $\times 15 \mathrm{ft}$. high rectangular channel section will continue to convey the flow southward along I-Drive. The rectangular section of Canal C-5 through Parcels \#3 and \#4 will consist of a concrete bottom and vertical sheet piles on the sides. The sides are planned to be themed to enhance the look of Canal C-5 along I-Drive. The rectangular section will continue until the southeast corner of Parcel \#3. At this point, the rectangular section of Canal C-5 will begin to gradually turn toward the west ( 300 ft . radius) and continue along CFP until it reaches the existing twin $10 \mathrm{ft} . \times 5 \mathrm{ft}$. box culverts that cross under CFP.

A HEC-RAS model was created using the same beginning and ending points indicated in the existing conditions (See Section 3). The same tailwater of 85.17 ft ., assumed for the existing condition, was used for this option. The same Total Flow of 459 cfs was used to create the one-dimensional steady flow analysis used in HEC-RAS. The proposed rectangular section is considered as a "Concrete Bottom float finished with sides of Dry Rubble on Riprap" for possible theming sides. The Manning's n Roughness Coefficient used in this Option model is $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 5}$. See Appendix D for additional information.

### 4.3.1. Option \#3 Model Results

All data previously described in the above section was used to create a HEC-RAS one-dimensional steady flow model. The following table shows the elevations at the beginning and end of the relocated portion of Canal C-5. See Appendix B for full table results, profile, and model layout.

| Location | 25-Year / 72-Hour Water Surface Elevation (ft.) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Comparison Point \#1 (CP \#1) | 86.38 |
| Comparison Point \#2 (CP \#2 adjusted*) | 85.82 |

* The location for CP \#2 was adjusted to the headwall for the existing twin box culverts under CFP because Option \#3 eliminates the need for box culverts under the existing Western Entrance to Parcel \#3.


## 5. Summary

Below is a table summarizing the results of each of the models and comparing them to the existing conditions for the 25 -year / 72 -hour storm event.

| Location | Water <br> Surface <br> Elevation <br> (ft.) <br> Existing | Water <br> Surface <br> Elevation <br> (ft.) <br> Option \#1 | Water <br> Surface <br> Elevation <br> (ft.) <br> Option \#2 | Water <br> Surface <br> Elevation <br> (ft.) <br> Option \#3 | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Comparison | 86.46 | 86.46 | 86.51 | 86.38 | Option \#2 yielded an elevation <br> slightly higher, but it is near <br> negligible. |
| Point \#1 (CP \#1) |  |  |  |  | 85.82 |
| The location for this point on <br> Comparison <br> Point \#2 (CP \#2) | 85.81 | 85.81 | 85.81 |  | Option \#3 was adjusted to the <br> headwall for the existing twin box <br> culverts under CFP because <br> Option \#3 eliminates the need for <br> box culverts under the existing <br> Western Entrance to Parcel \#3 |

## 6. Conclusion

As indicated in the Summary, all Options yield water surface elevations very close to the elevations resulting from the existing conditions. Below are some key points taken into consideration when analyzing each option:

- Option \#1: This option would capture Canal C-5 near the beginning of the turn to the west along the north side of Parcel \#3. Canal C-5 would enter a 42 ft . wide $\times 15 \mathrm{ft}$. high rectangular channel section. This is a preferred option. This option provides additional area that the owner can use, while at the same time, maintaining a similar alignment for Canal C-5. This option also provides easy access for maintenance and inspection from the existing maintenance road on the west side of Canal C-5.
- Option \#2: This option would capture Canal C-5 near the end of the turn to the west along the north side of Parcel \#3 with twin 12 ft . 10 ft . box culverts. This is not a preferred option due to placing Canal C-5 inside box culverts will make it difficult to inspect and provide regular maintenance.
- Option \#3: This option would relocate the portion of Canal C-5 running along the west side of Parcel \#3 to the east of said Parcel. This portion of Canal C-5 would enter twin 10 ft . x 6 ft . culverts that convey flow under the proposed entrance and connect to a 42 ft . wide $\times 15 \mathrm{ft}$. high rectangular channel section. This is not a preferred option. A large portion of Canal C-5 is being placed inside box culverts that will make it difficult to inspect and maintain. Also, while the rectangular section will make it easier to inspect, its proximity to I-Drive on the east side and future development on the west side, could pose maintenance accessibility problems.


## Appendices



## Appendix A. Exhibits

A.1. Location Map
A.2. Aerial Map
A.3. Valencia Water Control District Water Control Plan
A.4. Amil Gate S-501 Detail
A.5. Option \#1 Exhibit
A.6. Option \#2 Exhibit
A.7. Option \#3 Exhibit



SOURCE: BING MAPS, 12/4/2023
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## Appendix B. HEC-RAS Models

B.1. Existing HEC-RAS Model
B.2. Option \#1 HEC-RAS Model
B.3. Option \#2 HEC-RAS Model
B.4. Option \#3 HEC-RAS Model


## Existing HEC-RAS Model

HEC-RAS Plan: Total at Gate River: C5-Existing Reach: HEC-RAS Profile: PF 1

| Reach | River Sta | Profile | Q Total | Min Ch El | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | E.G. Elev | E.G. Slope | Vel Chnl | Flow Area | Top Width | Froude \# Chl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (cfs) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/ft) | (ft/s) | (sq ft) | (ft) |  |
| HEC-RAS | 3013.110 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.43 | 86.55 |  | 86.58 | 0.000125 | 1.38 | 333.28 | 62.93 | 0.11 |
| HEC-RAS | 2883.260 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.38 | 86.53 |  | 86.56 | 0.000123 | 1.37 | 335.59 | 63.23 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2753.410 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.32 | 86.52 |  | 86.54 | 0.000121 | 1.36 | 338.27 | 63.57 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2733.520 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.31 | 86.51 |  | 86.54 | 0.000120 | 1.35 | 338.90 | 63.65 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2713.630 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.30 | 86.51 |  | 86.54 | 0.000120 | 1.35 | 339.36 | 63.72 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2693.740 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.30 | 86.51 |  | 86.54 | 0.000120 | 1.35 | 339.55 | 63.76 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2673.840 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.29 | 86.51 |  | 86.53 | 0.000119 | 1.35 | 339.93 | 63.79 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2610.880 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.26 | 86.50 |  | 86.53 | 0.000118 | 1.35 | 341.06 | 63.91 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2547.910 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.23 | 86.49 |  | 86.52 | 0.000117 | 1.34 | 342.44 | 64.08 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2484.950 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.21 | 86.48 |  | 86.51 | 0.000116 | 1.34 | 343.47 | 64.23 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2421.980 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.18 | 86.48 |  | 86.50 | 0.000115 | 1.33 | 345.29 | 64.47 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2315.590 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.14 | 86.46 |  | 86.49 | 0.000113 | 1.32 | 346.71 | 64.62 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2231.920 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.10 | 86.46 |  | 86.48 | 0.000112 | 1.32 | 348.57 | 64.85 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2209.230 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.07 | 86.45 |  | 86.48 | 0.000110 | 1.31 | 351.21 | 65.21 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2187.330 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.05 | 86.45 |  | 86.48 | 0.000109 | 1.30 | 352.70 | 65.45 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2165.440 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.02 | 86.45 |  | 86.47 | 0.000108 | 1.30 | 354.29 | 65.59 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2143.560 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.00 | 86.45 |  | 86.47 | 0.000107 | 1.29 | 355.68 | 65.80 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2121.680 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.97 | 86.44 |  | 86.47 | 0.000105 | 1.28 | 357.36 | 65.99 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2099.800 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.95 | 86.44 |  | 86.47 | 0.000104 | 1.28 | 358.69 | 66.14 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2077.920 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.92 | 86.44 |  | 86.47 | 0.000103 | 1.27 | 360.39 | 66.37 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2056.030 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.90 | 86.44 |  | 86.46 | 0.000102 | 1.27 | 361.81 | 66.55 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2034.150 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.87 | 86.44 |  | 86.46 | 0.000101 | 1.26 | 363.51 | 66.74 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2012.270 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.84 | 86.43 |  | 86.46 | 0.000100 | 1.26 | 364.98 | 66.91 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1990.390 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.82 | 86.43 |  | 86.46 | 0.000099 | 1.25 | 366.56 | 67.11 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1968.500 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.79 | 86.43 |  | 86.45 | 0.000098 | 1.25 | 368.16 | 67.27 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1946.620 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.77 | 86.43 |  | 86.45 | 0.000097 | 1.24 | 369.66 | 67.48 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1924.740 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.74 | 86.43 |  | 86.45 | 0.000096 | 1.24 | 371.40 | 67.65 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1902.860 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.72 | 86.42 |  | 86.45 | 0.000095 | 1.23 | 372.82 | 67.85 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1880.980 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.69 | 86.42 |  | 86.45 | 0.000094 | 1.22 | 374.71 | 68.07 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1859.090 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.67 | 86.42 |  | 86.44 | 0.000093 | 1.22 | 375.13 | 68.07 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1682.890 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.46 | 86.41 |  | 86.43 | 0.000086 | 1.18 | 388.13 | 69.56 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1506.700 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.26 | 86.39 |  | 86.41 | 0.000079 | 1.14 | 401.65 | 71.12 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1487.220 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.24 | 86.39 |  | 86.41 | 0.000078 | 1.14 | 403.83 | 71.43 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1467.750 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.21 | 86.39 |  | 86.41 | 0.000077 | 1.13 | 405.54 | 71.59 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1448.280 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.19 | 86.39 |  | 86.41 | 0.000076 | 1.13 | 407.03 | 71.76 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1428.810 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.17 | 86.39 |  | 86.41 | 0.000075 | 1.12 | 408.42 | 71.92 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1409.340 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.14 | 86.39 |  | 86.40 | 0.000075 | 1.12 | 410.07 | 72.09 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1389.870 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.12 | 86.38 |  | 86.40 | 0.000074 | 1.12 | 411.64 | 72.26 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1370.390 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.10 | 86.38 |  | 86.40 | 0.000073 | 1.11 | 413.04 | 72.45 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1350.920 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.08 | 86.38 |  | 86.40 | 0.000073 | 1.11 | 414.61 | 72.61 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1331.450 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.05 | 86.38 |  | 86.40 | 0.000072 | 1.10 | 416.18 | 72.77 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1311.980 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.03 | 86.38 |  | 86.40 | 0.000071 | 1.10 | 417.77 | 72.94 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1292.510 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.01 | 86.38 |  | 86.40 | 0.000071 | 1.09 | 419.20 | 73.13 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1273.040 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.99 | 86.38 |  | 86.39 | 0.000070 | 1.09 | 420.77 | 73.29 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1253.570 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.96 | 86.37 |  | 86.39 | 0.000069 | 1.09 | 422.45 | 73.46 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1234.090 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.94 | 86.37 |  | 86.39 | 0.000069 | 1.08 | 424.10 | 73.65 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1214.620 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.92 | 86.37 |  | 86.39 | 0.000068 | 1.08 | 425.53 | 73.82 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1195.150 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.90 | 86.37 |  | 86.39 | 0.000068 | 1.08 | 426.22 | 73.83 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 1002.020 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.67 | 86.36 |  | 86.38 | 0.000062 | 1.04 | 442.06 | 75.49 | 0.08 |
| HEC-RAS | 809.070 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.45 | 86.35 |  | 86.36 | 0.000056 | 1.00 | 458.25 | 77.19 | 0.07 |
| HEC-RAS | 616.030 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.22 | 86.34 |  | 86.35 | 0.000051 | 0.97 | 475.19 | 78.93 | 0.07 |
| HEC-RAS | 422.980 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 86.34 | 74.96 | 86.35 | 0.000013 | 0.61 | 749.36 | 85.34 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 421.98 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEC-RAS | 372.340 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.82 |  | 85.83 | 0.000015 | 0.65 | 707.48 | 83.34 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 339.650 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.81 |  | 85.83 | 0.000058 | 1.02 | 451.49 | 76.49 | 0.07 |
| HEC-RAS | 306.960 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.82 | 74.95 | 85.82 | 0.000014 | 0.63 | 724.61 | 86.06 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 304.96 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEC-RAS | 116.430 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.20 |  | 85.21 | 0.000015 | 0.63 | 732.80 | 93.10 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 66.180 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.42 | 85.18 |  | 85.21 | 0.000089 | 1.20 | 382.99 | 69.44 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 32.590 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.71 | 85.18 |  | 85.20 | 0.000106 | 1.29 | 355.67 | 65.71 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 0.000 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.99 | 85.17 | 77.79 | 85.20 | 0.000121 | 1.36 | 337.28 | 63.43 | 0.10 |

Existing HEC-RAS Model



Option \#1 HEC-RAS Model

|  | Reach | River Sta | Profile | Q Total | Min Ch El | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | E.G. Elev | E.G. Slope | Vel Chnl | Flow Area | Top Width | Froude \# Chl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (cfs) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/ft) | (ft/s) | (sq ft) | (ft) |  |
|  | HEC-RAS | 3031.920 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.57 | 86.55 |  | 86.58 | 0.000134 | 1.41 | 324.53 | 61.81 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2902.070 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.49 | 86.53 |  | 86.56 | 0.000130 | 1.40 | 328.45 | 62.32 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2772.220 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.41 | 86.51 |  | 86.54 | 0.000126 | 1.38 | 332.46 | 62.82 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2752.330 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.40 | 86.51 |  | 86.54 | 0.000125 | 1.38 | 333.12 | 62.92 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2732.440 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.39 | 86.51 |  | 86.54 | 0.000125 | 1.38 | 333.57 | 62.98 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2712.550 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.38 | 86.51 |  | 86.54 | 0.000124 | 1.37 | 334.10 | 63.05 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2692.660 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.37 | 86.50 |  | 86.53 | 0.000124 | 1.37 | 334.62 | 63.12 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2629.690 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.33 | 86.50 |  | 86.53 | 0.000122 | 1.36 | 336.53 | 63.34 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2566.730 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.29 | 86.49 |  | 86.52 | 0.000120 | 1.36 | 338.56 | 63.61 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2503.760 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.25 | 86.48 |  | 86.51 | 0.000119 | 1.35 | 340.51 | 63.83 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2456.130 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.22 | 86.48 |  | 86.50 | 0.000117 | 1.34 | 342.93 | 64.18 | 0.10 |
| CP \#1 | HEC-RAS | 2334.350 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.15 | 86.46 |  | 86.49 | 0.000114 | 1.33 | 345.79 | 64.51 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2250.670 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.10 | 86.45 |  | 86.48 | 0.000112 | 1.32 | 348.11 | 64.72 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2226.300 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.07 | 86.46 |  | 86.48 | 0.000035 | 0.96 | 479.54 | 42.20 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2221.360 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.06 | 86.46 |  | 86.47 | 0.000035 | 0.95 | 482.23 | 42.42 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2183.780 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.02 | 86.46 |  | 86.47 | 0.000034 | 0.94 | 488.27 | 42.81 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2146.190 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.98 | 86.46 |  | 86.47 | 0.000033 | 0.94 | 490.70 | 42.89 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2108.610 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.93 | 86.46 |  | 86.47 | 0.000033 | 0.93 | 491.82 | 42.81 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2071.030 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.89 | 86.46 |  | 86.47 | 0.000033 | 0.93 | 494.36 | 42.90 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2033.450 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.85 | 86.46 |  | 86.47 | 0.000032 | 0.93 | 495.46 | 42.81 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1995.870 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.80 | 86.45 |  | 86.47 | 0.000032 | 0.92 | 497.90 | 42.89 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1958.290 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.76 | 86.45 |  | 86.47 | 0.000032 | 0.92 | 499.11 | 42.81 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1920.710 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.72 | 86.45 |  | 86.46 | 0.000032 | 0.93 | 495.43 | 42.33 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1732.880 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.51 | 86.45 |  | 86.46 | 0.000031 | 0.91 | 502.57 | 42.21 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1545.060 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.29 | 86.44 |  | 86.45 | 0.000029 | 0.90 | 512.45 | 42.28 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1509.470 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.24 | 86.44 |  | 86.45 | 0.000028 | 0.89 | 517.38 | 42.56 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1473.880 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.21 | 86.44 |  | 86.45 | 0.000028 | 0.89 | 518.21 | 42.49 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1438.290 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.16 | 86.44 |  | 86.45 | 0.000028 | 0.88 | 520.82 | 42.57 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1402.690 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.13 | 86.44 | 75.67 | 86.45 | 0.000028 | 0.89 | 518.19 | 42.21 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1401.69 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1305.570 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.01 | 86.36 |  | 86.37 | 0.000028 | 0.88 | 519.92 | 42.21 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1278.520 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.98 | 86.36 |  | 86.37 | 0.000028 | 0.88 | 523.16 | 42.37 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1251.460 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.95 | 86.36 |  | 86.37 | 0.000027 | 0.88 | 524.34 | 42.37 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1224.410 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.92 | 86.36 |  | 86.37 | 0.000027 | 0.87 | 525.63 | 42.38 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1197.350 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.89 | 86.35 |  | 86.37 | 0.000027 | 0.87 | 525.36 | 42.25 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1031.590 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.70 | 86.35 |  | 86.36 | 0.000026 | 0.86 | 532.71 | 42.22 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 865.830 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.51 | 86.35 |  | 86.36 | 0.000025 | 0.85 | 544.71 | 50.54 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 700.070 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.32 | 86.34 |  | 86.35 | 0.000024 | 0.84 | 554.39 | 52.14 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 534.310 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.13 | 86.34 |  | 86.35 | 0.000023 | 0.82 | 564.15 | 53.66 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 517.510 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.11 | 86.34 |  | 86.35 | 0.000023 | 0.82 | 564.98 | 53.56 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 500.710 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.09 | 86.34 |  | 86.35 | 0.000023 | 0.82 | 564.63 | 52.78 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 461.850 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.04 | 86.34 |  | 86.35 | 0.000023 | 0.82 | 563.92 | 50.18 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 422.980 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 86.34 | 74.54 | 86.35 | 0.000022 | 0.82 | 563.78 | 48.53 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 421.98 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HEC-RAS | 372.340 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.82 |  | 85.83 | 0.000015 | 0.65 | 707.49 | 83.34 | 0.04 |
| CP \#2 | HEC-RAS | 339.650 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.81 |  | 85.83 | 0.000058 | 1.02 | 451.50 | 76.49 | 0.07 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 306.950 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.82 | 74.95 | 85.82 | 0.000014 | 0.63 | 724.63 | 86.06 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 304.95 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HEC-RAS | 116.430 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.20 |  | 85.21 | 0.000015 | 0.63 | 732.82 | 93.10 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 66.170 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.43 | 85.18 |  | 85.21 | 0.000089 | 1.20 | 382.52 | 69.26 | 0.09 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 32.580 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.72 | 85.18 |  | 85.20 | 0.000107 | 1.29 | 355.13 | 65.66 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 0.000 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.00 | 85.17 | 77.81 | 85.20 | 0.000122 | 1.36 | 336.68 | 63.38 | 0.10 |

Option \#1 HEC-RAS Model



Option \#2 HEC-RAS Model
HEC-RAS Plan: Culverts V2 River: C5-Same-Culverts Reach: HEC-RAS Profile: PF 1

| Reach | River Sta | Profile | Q Total | Min Ch El | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | E.G. Elev | E.G. Slope | Vel Chnl | Flow Area | Top Width | Froude \# Chl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (cfs) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/ft) | (ft/s) | (sq ft) | (ft) |  |
| HEC-RAS | 3007.840 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.43 | 86.59 |  | 86.62 | 0.000122 | 1.37 | 336.23 | 63.31 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2877.990 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.38 | 86.58 |  | 86.61 | 0.000120 | 1.36 | 338.57 | 63.61 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2748.140 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.32 | 86.56 |  | 86.59 | 0.000118 | 1.34 | 341.30 | 63.95 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2728.250 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.31 | 86.56 |  | 86.59 | 0.000117 | 1.34 | 341.96 | 64.03 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2708.360 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.30 | 86.56 |  | 86.59 | 0.000117 | 1.34 | 342.35 | 64.08 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2688.470 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.30 | 86.56 |  | 86.58 | 0.000117 | 1.34 | 342.55 | 64.13 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2668.580 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.29 | 86.55 |  | 86.58 | 0.000117 | 1.34 | 342.87 | 64.16 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2605.610 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.26 | 86.55 |  | 86.57 | 0.000116 | 1.33 | 344.08 | 64.29 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2542.650 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.23 | 86.54 |  | 86.57 | 0.000114 | 1.33 | 345.53 | 64.47 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2479.680 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.21 | 86.53 |  | 86.56 | 0.000114 | 1.32 | 346.44 | 64.60 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2432.050 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.19 | 86.53 |  | 86.55 | 0.000112 | 1.32 | 348.21 | 64.88 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2310.270 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.14 | 86.51 |  | 86.54 | 0.000111 | 1.31 | 349.81 | 64.99 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2226.590 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.10 | 86.50 |  | 86.53 | 0.000109 | 1.30 | 351.76 | 65.24 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2203.910 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.07 | 86.50 |  | 86.53 | 0.000108 | 1.30 | 354.31 | 65.59 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2182.000 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.05 | 86.50 |  | 86.53 | 0.000106 | 1.29 | 355.95 | 65.84 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2160.120 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.02 | 86.50 |  | 86.52 | 0.000105 | 1.28 | 357.44 | 65.98 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2138.240 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.00 | 86.50 |  | 86.52 | 0.000104 | 1.28 | 358.91 | 66.20 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2116.360 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.97 | 86.49 |  | 86.52 | 0.000103 | 1.27 | 360.60 | 66.37 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2094.480 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.95 | 86.49 |  | 86.52 | 0.000102 | 1.27 | 362.06 | 66.57 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2072.590 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.92 | 86.49 |  | 86.51 | 0.000101 | 1.26 | 363.57 | 66.75 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 2050.710 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.90 | 86.49 |  | 86.51 | 0.000100 | 1.26 | 365.11 | 66.93 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 2028.830 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.87 | 86.49 |  | 86.51 | 0.000099 | 1.25 | 366.84 | 67.14 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 2006.950 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.84 | 86.48 |  | 86.51 | 0.000098 | 1.25 | 368.48 | 67.32 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1985.060 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.82 | 86.48 |  | 86.51 | 0.000097 | 1.24 | 369.93 | 67.52 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1963.180 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.79 | 86.48 |  | 86.50 | 0.000096 | 1.24 | 371.55 | 67.67 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1941.300 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.77 | 86.48 |  | 86.50 | 0.000095 | 1.23 | 373.13 | 67.89 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1919.420 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.74 | 86.48 |  | 86.50 | 0.000094 | 1.22 | 374.76 | 68.07 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1897.540 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.72 | 86.47 |  | 86.50 | 0.000093 | 1.22 | 376.26 | 68.25 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1875.650 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.69 | 86.47 |  | 86.49 | 0.000092 | 1.21 | 377.95 | 68.45 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 1853.770 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.67 | 86.48 | 76.48 | 86.49 | 0.000018 | 0.70 | 658.73 | 80.54 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 1852.77 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEC-RAS | 1353.710 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.08 | 86.37 | 74.85 | 86.37 | 0.000002 | 0.35 | 1474.65 | 120.00 | 0.02 |
| HEC-RAS | 1353.61 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEC-RAS | 1191.470 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.90 | 86.28 | 74.66 | 86.28 | 0.000002 | 0.35 | 1486.06 | 120.00 | 0.02 |
| HEC-RAS | 1191.37 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEC-RAS | 780.300 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.42 | 86.17 | 74.18 | 86.17 | 0.000002 | 0.34 | 1530.40 | 120.00 | 0.02 |
| HEC-RAS | 780.2 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEC-RAS | 609.480 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.22 | 86.07 |  | 86.08 | 0.000013 | 0.62 | 745.87 | 85.02 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 516.230 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.11 | 86.06 |  | 86.08 | 0.000055 | 0.99 | 462.30 | 77.64 | 0.07 |
| HEC-RAS | 422.980 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 86.07 | 74.96 | 86.07 | 0.000014 | 0.63 | 726.09 | 84.14 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 421.98 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEC-RAS | 372.340 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.82 |  | 85.83 | 0.000015 | 0.65 | 707.49 | 83.34 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 339.650 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.81 |  | 85.83 | 0.000058 | 1.02 | 451.47 | 76.51 | 0.07 |
| HEC-RAS | 306.960 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.82 | 74.95 | 85.82 | 0.000014 | 0.63 | 724.63 | 86.06 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 304.96 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEC-RAS | 116.430 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.20 |  | 85.21 | 0.000015 | 0.63 | 732.82 | 93.10 | 0.04 |
| HEC-RAS | 66.170 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.43 | 85.18 |  | 85.21 | 0.000089 | 1.20 | 382.49 | 69.27 | 0.09 |
| HEC-RAS | 32.580 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.72 | 85.18 |  | 85.20 | 0.000107 | 1.29 | 355.18 | 65.66 | 0.10 |
| HEC-RAS | 0.000 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.00 | 85.17 | 77.80 | 85.20 | 0.000122 | 1.36 | 336.63 | 63.35 | 0.10 |

Option \#2 HEC-RAS Model



|  | Reach | River Sta | Profile | Q Total | Min ChEl | W.S. Elev | Crit W.S. | E.G. Elev | E.G. Slope | Vel Chnl | Flow Area | Top Width | Froude \# Chl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (cfs) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft/ft) | (ft/s) | (sq ft) | (ft) |  |
|  | HEC-RAS | 3031.040 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.43 | 86.46 |  | 86.49 | 0.000130 | 1.40 | 328.05 | 62.26 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2901.190 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.38 | 86.45 |  | 86.48 | 0.000128 | 1.39 | 330.31 | 62.57 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2771.340 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.32 | 86.43 |  | 86.46 | 0.000125 | 1.38 | 332.99 | 62.90 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2751.450 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.31 | 86.43 |  | 86.46 | 0.000125 | 1.38 | 333.45 | 62.95 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2731.560 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.30 | 86.43 |  | 86.45 | 0.000124 | 1.37 | 334.02 | 63.04 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2711.670 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.30 | 86.42 |  | 86.45 | 0.000124 | 1.37 | 334.05 | 63.06 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2691.780 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.29 | 86.42 |  | 86.45 | 0.000124 | 1.37 | 334.41 | 63.10 | 0.11 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2628.810 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.26 | 86.41 |  | 86.44 | 0.000123 | 1.37 | 335.63 | 63.24 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2565.840 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.23 | 86.41 |  | 86.43 | 0.000122 | 1.36 | 336.98 | 63.40 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2502.880 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.21 | 86.40 |  | 86.43 | 0.000121 | 1.36 | 337.89 | 63.52 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2457.690 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.19 | 86.39 |  | 86.42 | 0.000120 | 1.35 | 339.54 | 63.77 | 0.10 |
| CP \#1 | HEC-RAS | 2333.490 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.14 | 86.38 |  | 86.41 | 0.000118 | 1.35 | 341.12 | 63.93 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2276.890 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.11 | 86.37 |  | 86.40 | 0.000117 | 1.34 | 342.50 | 64.09 | 0.10 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2249.800 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 75.10 | 86.38 | 77.07 | 86.39 | 0.000020 | 0.70 | 657.70 | 90.57 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 2245.8 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1766.480 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.55 | 85.85 |  | 85.87 | 0.000035 | 0.96 | 479.42 | 42.56 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1646.740 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.42 | 85.85 |  | 85.86 | 0.000035 | 0.95 | 481.17 | 42.20 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1526.990 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.28 | 85.85 |  | 85.86 | 0.000034 | 0.94 | 486.91 | 42.20 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1407.250 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.15 | 85.84 |  | 85.86 | 0.000033 | 0.93 | 492.25 | 42.20 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1287.510 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.01 | 85.84 |  | 85.85 | 0.000031 | 0.92 | 498.81 | 42.26 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1252.250 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.97 | 85.84 |  | 85.85 | 0.000031 | 0.91 | 502.85 | 42.48 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1216.990 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.93 | 85.84 |  | 85.85 | 0.000030 | 0.91 | 505.63 | 42.59 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1181.720 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.89 | 85.84 |  | 85.85 | 0.000030 | 0.91 | 506.94 | 43.53 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1146.460 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.85 | 85.83 |  | 85.85 | 0.000030 | 0.90 | 507.85 | 42.48 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1111.200 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.81 | 85.83 |  | 85.85 | 0.000030 | 0.90 | 510.37 | 42.56 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1075.940 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.77 | 85.83 |  | 85.84 | 0.000029 | 0.90 | 511.13 | 42.48 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1040.680 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.73 | 85.83 |  | 85.84 | 0.000029 | 0.89 | 513.82 | 42.58 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 1005.420 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.69 | 85.83 |  | 85.84 | 0.000029 | 0.90 | 512.02 | 42.28 | 0.05 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 900.560 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.57 | 85.83 |  | 85.84 | 0.000029 | 0.89 | 516.11 | 42.21 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 795.690 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.45 | 85.82 |  | 85.84 | 0.000028 | 0.88 | 521.14 | 42.22 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 695.930 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.34 | 85.82 |  | 85.83 | 0.000027 | 0.87 | 525.95 | 42.24 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 596.170 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.23 | 85.82 |  | 85.83 | 0.000026 | 0.87 | 530.49 | 42.25 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 496.410 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.11 | 85.82 |  | 85.83 | 0.000026 | 0.86 | 535.46 | 42.25 | 0.04 |
| CP \#2 | HEC-RAS | 306.960 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.82 | 73.76 | 85.82 | 0.000002 | 0.30 | 1552.93 | 121.19 | 0.01 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 304.96 |  | Culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HEC-RAS | 116.430 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.00 | 85.20 |  | 85.21 | 0.000015 | 0.63 | 732.81 | 93.10 | 0.04 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 66.170 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 73.43 | 85.18 |  | 85.21 | 0.000089 | 1.20 | 382.59 | 69.27 | 0.09 |
|  | HEC-RAS | 0.000 | PF 1 | 459.00 | 74.00 | 85.17 | 77.80 | 85.20 | 0.000122 | 1.36 | 336.69 | 63.36 | 0.10 |

Option \#3 HEC-RAS Model


## Appendix C. Historic Data

C.1. Application 960806-5: VWCD Canal C-5 Relocation @ SeaWorld

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER
MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION/CERTIFICATION

PERMIT NUMBER:
$\qquad$
$48-00052-5$

APPLICATION NUMBER:
$960806-5$

VWCD Canal C5 Rewcation@ seaward

CON 24-06
Regulation Department
March 2, 1999
Valencia Water Control District 10365 Orangewood Boulevard Orlando, Florida 32821

Subject: Construction Completion/Construction Certification Environmental Resource Standard General Permit Permit No. 48-00052-S/Application No. 960806-5 VWCD CANAL C-5 RELOCATION @ SEA WORLD
Orange County, S12;7T24;24S/R28;29E Orange County, S12;7/T24;24S/R28;29E
Dear Sirs:
This letter is to acknowledge receipt of your consulting engineer's construction completion/ construction certification and the record drawings pertaining to the subject parcel's surface water management system. South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) staff have reviewed the submitted information and it has been incorporated into the permit file.

By accepting the engineer's certification, SFWMD staff considers the surface water management system (permitted under the above listed application number) to be constructed in substantial conformance with the plans and specifications approved by the SFWMD. This satisfies your permit's conditions regarding submittal of an engineer's certification for construction completion of the permitted drainage facilities and the above referenced permit is hereby converted from the construction phase to the operation phase,

Should you have any questions, please contact Vickie Jones in the Orlando Service Center at (407) 858-6100.


Jared Justesen
Regulatory Representative
Orlando Service Center
JJ/vj
c: Orange County Development Engineering Department AR Miller Engineering, Inc.
vj0659

Govirning Board:

Frank Williamson, Jr., Chairman
Eugene K. Pettis, Viee Chairman
Mitchell iV. Berger

Vera M. Carter William E. Graham William Hammond

Richard A. Machek Michael D. Minton Miriam Singer

Samuel E. Poole III, Executive Director Michael Slayton, Deputy Executive Director William C. Stimmel, Orlando Service Center Director

District Headquarters • 3301 Gun Club Road, P.O. Box 24680, West Palm Beach, FL, 33416-4680 • (561) 686-8800, FL WATS 1-800-432-2045

# Valencia Water Control Distric <br> Marci 2, 1999 

Fage 2
bc:
Heidi Schloss
Backup File
Reader File

Finial Inspection Orlando Service Center

Regulation

Date:22 Dec 98
Project Name: SWF Pond "6" Relocation
Permit No: 48-00052-S/960806-5
Project Enginear: AR Milller Engineering Inc.
Certification Letter Received?Yes


Date of Final Inspection:21 Dec 98

## FINAL INSPECTION REPCRT

This permit is for the realignment of VWD'S canal C-5 on the Sea World property to better the land use for future construction. The submitted engineers certification and record drawings indicate construction has been done within a reasonable tolerance of design. Valencia Drainage District will maintain the system.

C:h schloss
File

## SOIJTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

## Environmental Resource/Surface Water Management Permit Construclion Compiction/Construction Certification <br> RECEIVED

PLRMIT NO. 48-00052-S ORLANDO SERVICE CENTER PROJECT NAME: VWCD Canal C-5 ReTocation APLICATION NO. -960806-5 LOCATION: COUNTY: Orange Relocation
The subjeci surface water management system has been designed, constructed and completed as follows:
(use
Completion Date:
Dischare Strucrure:

| April,28, 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Day | Year |
| EERMITIED |  | EXISTING |



Retentinn/Detention Area:
(if applicable)
$N / A$

| Size |
| :--- |
| Side Slopes |
| $(H: V)$ |

ID Size Side Slopes $\overline{(H: V)}$

ID | Size |
| :--- |
| Side Slopes $\quad$ |
| $\mathrm{H:V)}$ |

[D Size Side Slopes
(H:V)

Please indicate the location of the appropriate bench mark(s) used to determine the above information on the record drawings (Reference 40E-4.381(1)(1). Florida Administrative Code). All elevations should be the according to National Geodetic Verical Datum (NGVD) (Rerative Code). All elevations should be Environmental Resource Permit Applications whin (Reference 2.9 of the Basis of Review for
Environmental Resource Permit Applications within the So:th Florida Water Management District).

I HERERY NOTIFY THE DISTRUCT OF THE COMPIETION OF CONSTRUCTION OF ALL THE COMPONENTS OF TH
THEY HUVE BEEN CONAGEMENT FACIITIES FOR THE ABOVE REFERENCED PROELCT AND CERTIFYTS OFTT THE PERMITIED BY TH DISTRCTED IN SUBSTANTLAL CONFORMUNCE WITH THE PLINS AND CERTIFY THAT DEVLATIONS NTED DISTRICT. AA COPY OF THE APPROVED PERMTT DRAFHNGS IS ATTAD SPECIFICAITONS

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ 1998 .

Arthur R. Miller, III, PE, PLS PE 0026259
Name (Please Print) Fla. Registration No.












## Appendix D. Additional Data

D.1. HEC-RAS Hydraulic Reference Manual Table 3-1: Manning's n Values
associated with bridges and culverts will be discussed in "Modeling Bridges" 28 and "Modeling Culverts" 29 of this manual.

Manning's $\mathbf{n}$. Selection of an appropriate value for Manning's n is very significant to the accuracy of the computed water surface elevations. The value of Manning's $n$ is highly variable and depends on a number of factors including: surface roughness; vegetation; channel irregularities; channel alignment; scour and deposition; obstructions; size and shape of the channel; stage and discharge; seasonal changes; temperature; and suspended material and bedload.

In general, Manning's n values should be calibrated whenever observed water surface elevation information (gaged data, as well as high water marks) is available. When gaged data are not available, values of $n$ computed for similar stream conditions or values obtained from experimental data should be used as guides in selecting n values.

There are several references a user can access that show Manning's $n$ values for typical channels. An extensive compilation of $n$ values for streams and floodplains can be found in Chow's book "Open-Channel Hydraulics" [Chow, 1959]. Excerpts from Chow's book, for the most common types of channels, are shown in Table 3-1 below. Chow's book presents additional types of channels, as well as pictures of streams for which $n$ values have been calibrated.

### 5.1.6.1 Table 3-1 Manning's $n$ Values

| Type of Channel and Description | Minimum | Normal | Maximum |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. Natural Streams |  |  |  |
| 1. Main Channels |  | 0.030 | 0.033 |
| a. Clean, straight, full, no rifts or deep pools | 0.025 | 0.035 | 0.040 |
| b. Same as above, but more stones and <br> weeds | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.050 |
| c. Clean, winding, some pools and shoals <br> d. Same as above, but some weeds and <br> stones | 0.033 | 0.035 | 0.055 |
| e. Same as above, lower stages, more <br> ineffective slopes and sections | 0.040 | 0.050 | 0.060 |
| f. Same as "d" but more stones | 0.045 |  |  |

[^0]| g. Sluggish reaches, weedy. deep pools | 0.050 | 0.070 | 0.080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| h. Very weedy reaches, deep pools, or floodways with heavy stands of timber and brush | 0.070 | 0.100 | 0.150 |
| 2. Flood Plains |  |  |  |
| a. Pasture no brush |  |  |  |
| 1. Short grass | 0.025 | 0.030 | 0.035 |
| 2. High grass | 0.030 | 0.035 | 0.050 |
| b. Cultivated areas |  |  |  |
| 1. No crop | 0.020 | 0.030 | 0.040 |
| 2. Mature row crops | 0.025 | 0.035 | 0.045 |
| 3. Mature field crops | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.050 |
| c. Brush |  |  |  |
| 1. Scattered brush, heavy weeds | 0.035 | 0.050 | 0.070 |
| 2. Light brush and trees, in winter | 0.035 | 0.050 | 0.060 |
| 3. Light brush and trees, in summer | 0.040 | 0.060 | 0.080 |
| 4. Medium to dense brush, in winter | 0.045 | 0.070 | 0.110 |
| 5. Medium to dense brush, in summer | 0.070 | 0.100 | 0.160 |
| d. Trees |  |  |  |
| 1. Cleared land with tree stumps, no sprouts | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.050 |


| 2. Same as above, but heavy sprouts | 0.050 | 0.060 | 0.080 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Heavy stand of timber, few down <br> trees, little undergrowth, flow below branches | 0.080 | 0.100 | 0.120 |
| 4. Same as above, but with flow into <br> branches | 0.100 | 0.120 | 0.160 |
| 5. Dense willows, summer, straight | 0.110 | 0.150 | 0.200 |
| 3. Mountain Streams, no vegetation in |  |  |  |
| channel, banks usually steep, with trees and |  |  |  |
| brush on banks submerged |  |  |  |

Use for Rectangular channel Condition.

| 2. Concrete bottom float finished with sides of: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Dressed stone in mortar | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.020 |
| b. Random stone in mortar | 0.017 | 0.020 | 0.024 |
| c. Cement rubble masonry, plastered | 0.016 | $0.020$ | 0.024 |
| d. Cement rubble masonry | 0.020 | 0.025 | 0.030 |
| e. Dry rubble on riprap | 0.020 | 0.030 | 0.035 |
| 3. Gravel bottom with sides of: |  |  |  |
| a. Formed concrete | 0.017 | 0.020 | 0.025 |
| b. Random stone in mortar | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.026 |
| c. Dry rubble or riprap | 0.023 | 0.033 | 0.036 |
| 4. Brick |  |  |  |
| a. Glazed | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.015 |
| b. In cement mortar | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.018 |
| 5. Metal |  |  |  |
| a. Smooth steel surfaces | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.014 |
| b. Corrugated metal | 0.021 | 0.025 | 0.030 |
| 6. Asphalt |  |  |  |
| a. Smooth | 0.013 | 0.013 |  |
| b. Rough | 0.016 | 0.016 |  |



| b. Jagged and irregular | 0.035 | 0.040 | 0.050 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5. Channels not maintained, weeds and brush |  |  |  |
| a. Clean bottom, brush on sides | 0.040 | 0.050 | 0.080 |
| b. Same as above, highest stage of flow | 0.045 | 0.070 | 0.110 |
| c. Dense weeds, high as flow depth | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.120 |
| d. Dense brush, high stage | 0.080 | 0.100 | 0.140 |

Other sources that include pictures of selected streams as a guide to $n$ value determination are available (Fasken, 1963; Barnes, 1967; and Hicks and Mason, 1991). In general, these references provide color photos with tables of calibrated n values for a range of flows.

Although there are many factors that affect the selection of the $n$ value for the channel, some of the most important factors are the type and size of materials that compose the bed and banks of a channel, and the shape of the channel. Cowan (1956) developed a procedure for estimating the effects of these factors to determine the value of Manning's $n$ of a channel. In Cowan's procedure, the value of $n$ is computed by the following equation:

$$
n=\left(n_{0}+n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}\right) m
$$

| Symbol | Description | Units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n_{b}$ | Base value for n for a straight uniform, smooth channel in <br> natural materials |  |
| $n_{1}$ | Value added to correct for surface irregularities |  |
| $n_{2}$ | Value for obstructions |  |
| $n_{3}$ | Value for vegetation and flow conditions |  |
| $n_{4}$ | Correction factor to account for meandering of the <br> channel |  |
| $m$ |  |  |

Raul A. Rivera, PE
AtkinsRéalis,
482 South Keller Road
Suite 300
Orlando, FL 32810

Tel: +1 4076477275
Direct: +18132817346
Raul.Rivera@atkinsrealis.com

## SECTION VII

## SECTION A

| Customer Call Log - Valencia Water Control District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Name | Subdivision | Address | Issue | Pond/Canal Name | Resolution | Date Resolved |
| 11/20/23 | Dennis McGowan | Parkview Pointe North | 5665 Parkview Lake Drive | Called to inquire about the proposed development project Toscana and asked for District's boundary map. Concerned about flooding impact of new development. | N/A | Stacie V. explained that the property in question was outside of the District's boundaries and was not approved or controlled by the District. Any questions regarding the status of the project were to be directed to Orange County and provided the case planner's information to him. Emailed the District's boundary map to him | 11/21/23 |

## Section B

# This item will be provided under 

## separate cover


[^0]:    28 https://www.hec.usace.army.mil/confluence/rasdocs/ras1dtechref/modeling-bridges 29 https://www.hec.usace.army.mil/confluence/rasdocs/ras1dtechref/modeling-culverts

